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#### Abstract

A short and efficient approach to a range of new chiral and achiral functionalized $(E)$-enaminopyran2,4 -diones starting with commercially available dehydroacetic acid is described. The phytotoxic properties of these ( $E$ )-enaminopyran-2,4-diones were evaluated by their ability to interfere with the growth of Sorghum bicolor and Cucumis sativus seedlings. A different sensitivity of the two crops was evident with the ( $E$ )-enaminopyran-2,4-diones. The most active compounds were also tested against two weeds, Ipomoea grandifolia and Brachiaria decumbens. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report describing enaminopyran-2,4-diones as potential plant growth regulators.
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## INTRODUCTION

In modern agriculture, organic synthetic pesticides are largely used to reduce crop loss, as they are cost-effective and generally increase productivity. Concerns related to environmental problems and human health associated with the use of hazardous chemicals have stimulated agrochemical companies to search for ecofriendly alternatives (1). One of the major problems associated with crop production is the decrease in productivity due to the presence of weeds. Since the 1940s, the use of organic herbicides has become the most reliable and least expensive tool for weed control throughout the world. During recent decades, important advances have been achieved in the chemical control of weeds, but the identification of novel herbicides is still highly desirable, especially to overcome weed resistance, rapidly raised as a result of severe selective pressure imposed by continuous application of products with the same mechanism of action (2). In this context, the development of herbicides with new modes of action is a constant challenge. Among several strategies used by chemical companies to search for compounds with new modes of action is the use of phytotoxic natural products as herbicides or to lead to the discovery of new herbicides $(3,4)$.

[^0]Biologically active natural products are incredibly diverse in terms of structural formulas. Among such compounds, many presenting the pyran-2,4-dione ring as a structural unit have pharmacological $(5,6)$ or phytotoxic activities (7). Several approaches have been described for the synthesis of functionalized pyran-2,4-diones ( $8-10$ ), resulting in production of a wide variety of nitrogen-containing heterocycles with important pharmacological activities (11). In addition, several enaminones have been prepared for pharmacological uses (12-15). Derivatives of dehydroacetic acid $\mathbf{1}$ are very important due to the wide spectrum of their chemical properties and biological activities (16-20). Dehydroacetic acid is known to react with amines, yielding the corresponding enamino derivatives at the acetyl carbonyl group (20-25).

As part of our continuous efforts to develop new compounds with potential use as herbicides $(26-31)$, we decided to investigate the potential phytotoxicity of new pyran-2,4-dione derivatives. In this context, we describe in this paper the synthesis of a series of chiral and achiral functionalized $(E)$ -enaminopyran-2,4-diones, some of them synthesized for the first time, starting with commercially available dehydroacetic acid (32), and their inhibitory potential against either crops (Sorghum bicolor and Cucumis sativus) or weeds (Brachiaria decumbens and Ipomoea grandifolia).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Experimental Procedures. Ethyl acetate, hexane, 1,4dioxane, and amines were purified as described by Armarego and Chai
(33). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker AVANCE DPX 250 spectrometer at 250 and 62.5 MHz using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ as solvent and TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded using high-resolution hybrid quadrupole ( Q ) and orthogonal time-of-flight (TOF) instruments. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Paragon 1000 FTIR spectrophotometer, with the samples prepared as a thin film on a NaCl plate, scanning from 635 to $4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Optical rotation $(\alpha)$ was obtained using cubets of 1 cm at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analytical thin layer chromatography analyses were conducted on aluminum-packed precoated silica gel plates. Flash chromatography was performed over silica gel ( $0.035-0.070 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of $(E)$-Enaminopyran-2,4dione Derivatives $(\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c})$. These compounds were prepared by stirring a mixture of $\mathbf{1}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, alkylamine or arylamine or amino acid methyl ester hydrochloride ( 0.6 mmol ), triethylamine ( 2 mL ), and 1,4-dioxane ( 8 mL ) under reflux for 16 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the products were purified by silica gel flash column chromatography, eluting with a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate.
(E)-3-(1-(benzylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-3H-pyran-2,4-dione (2a). Yellow solid; yield, $87 \%$; mp $79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), \bar{v}_{\text {max }} 3686$, 3621, 3454, 3018, 1695, 1643, 1577, 1481, 1394, 1328, 1215, 1062, 1000, 930, 771, 669; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 5.67 (br s, 1H, H5), 7.30-7.44 (m, 5H, Ph), 14.10 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 18.4$ (C7), 19.6 (C9), 47.6 (C10), 96.2 (C3), $107.4(\mathrm{C} 5), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ and C5'), 136.5 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 162.8 (C6), 163.3 (C2), 176.2 (C4), 183.8 (C8).
(S,E)-3-(1-(sec-butylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-3H-pyran-2,4-dione (2b). White solid; yield, $91 \% ; \operatorname{mp} 51-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+2(c=$ $0.36 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3446,3018,2987,1695,1640$, 1577, 1477, 1394, 1217, 1062, 999, 772, 669; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.58 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95$ (sextet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.64 (s, 1H, H5), 11.92 (br s, 1H, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.2$ (C13), 18.3 (C7), 19.6 (C9), 20.5 (C10), 29.4 (C12), 51.2 (C11), 95.7 (C5), 107.4 (C3), 162.6 (C6), 163.3 (C2), 174.4 (C4), 183.9 (C8). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, 224.1287$; found, 224.1338.
(E)-6-Methyl-3-(1-(propylamino)ethylidene)-3H-pyran-2,4-dione (2c). Yellow solid; yield, $90 \%$; mp $74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\max } 3448,3013,2964,2956,2882,1699,1640,1579,1473,1392$, 1338, 1216, 1058, 906, 831, 771; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.61$ (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5), 13.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.5$ (C12), 18.1 (C7), 19.6 (C9), 22.2 (C11), 45.5 (C10), 95.9 (C5), 107.4 (C3), 162.6 (C6), 163.4 (C2), 175.9 (C4), 183.8 (C8);. HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, 210.1130$; found, 210.1192.
(E)-6-Methyl-3-(1-(phenylamino)ethylidene)-3H-pyran-2,4-dione (2d). White solid; yield, $79 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\max } 3454,3055,2987,1714,1699,1574,1471,1392,1362,1267$, 1190, 1161, 1064, 999, 952, 839, 742; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 2.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.82(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), $7.30-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 15.66$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 19.9$ (C7), 20.4 (C9), 97.3 (C5), 107.2 (C3), $125.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 136.4$ ( $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}$ ), 163.5 (C6), 163.8 (C2), 175.4 (C4), 184.6 (C8).
(E)-3-(1-(2-Hydroxyphenylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-2H-pyran-2,4dione (2f). Yellow solid; yield, $74 \%$; mp $171-172^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$, $\bar{\nu}_{\max } 3478,3055,2988,1685,1655,1574,1473,1364,1267,1066$, 1001, 897, 748; 1H NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.10$ (br s, 3 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 6.88(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,1.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 7.22(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$, 7.7, 1.2 Hz, H4' and H5'), 10.27 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 15.36 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 19.7$ (C7), 20.3 (C9), 96.9 (C5), 108.1 (C3), 116.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 119.8 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 123.7 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 127.2 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 129.7 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 151.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 163.5 (C6) 168.5 (C2), 175.7 (C4), 183.0 (C8). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, 260.0923$; found, 260.1182 .

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for Compound 2c

| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| formula weight | 209.24 |
| temperature $(\mathrm{K})$ | $150(2)$ |
| wavelength $(\AA)$ | 0.71073 |
| crystal system | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| unit cell dimensions | $a=4.6727(2) \AA$ |
|  | $b=20.8465(8) \AA$ |
|  | $c=10.8060(4) \AA$ |
| volume ( $\left.\AA \AA^{3}\right)$ | $\beta=94.325(2)^{\circ}$ |
| $Z$ | $1049.61(7)$ |
| density (calc) $\left(\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 4 |
| absorption coefficient (mm $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ | 1.324 |
| $F$ (000) | 0.096 |
| crystal size (mm $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 448 |
| $\theta$-range for data collection $($ deg $)$ | $0.22 \times 0.11 \times 0.05$ |
| index ranges | $3.8-27.4$ |
|  | $-5 \leq h \leq 5,-26 \leq k \leq 26$, |
| reflections collected | $-13 \leq l^{\prime} \leq 13$ |
| independent reflections | 4497 |
| completeness to $\theta=27.4^{\circ}$ | $2308[R($ int $)=0.0351]$ |
| refinement method | $97.7 \%$ |
| data/restraints/parameters | full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | $2308 / 0 / 143$ |
| final $R$ for $I>2 \sigma(\Lambda)$ | 1.025 |
| $R$ for all data | $R 1=0.0504$ |
| largest diff peak and hole $\left(e \cdot \AA^{-3}\right)$ | $w R 2=0.1393$ |
|  | 0.235 and -0.212 |

(E)-3-(1-(2-Hydroxy-5-nitrophenylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-2H-pyran-2,4(3H)-dione ( 2 g ). White solid; yield, $76 \%$; mp 196-197 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3429,2987,2884,1687,1637,1419,1275,897$, 850; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 6.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}\right), 10.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, OH ), 15.33 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 20.4$ (C7), 20.6 (C9), 97.4 (C3), 106.0 (C6), 107.4 (C5), 116.9 (C3'), 124.3 (C4'), 139.3 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ), 158.7 (C2), 145.4 (C2'), 148.6 (C5') 163.4 (6), 172.1 (C8), 176.0 (C4). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, 305.0774; found, 305.0770.
(E)-3-(1-(5-Chloro-2-hydroxy-4-nitrophenylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-2H-pyran-2,4(3H)-dione (2h). Red solid; yield, $83 \%$; mp $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), \bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3483,3371,3020,1637,1531$, 1473, 1321, 1216, 873, 771, 669; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $2.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.53$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.24 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 6.68 (s, 1H, PhH), 7.46 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhH}$ ), 8.57 (br s, 1H, OH), 10.28 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.9$ (C7), 20.6 (C9), 99.9 (C3), 101.4 (C5), 113.7 (C3'), 120.8 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 121.6 (C5'), 133.2 (C4'), 142.1 (C2'), 145.4 (C1'), 148.6 (C2) 160.9 (6), 170.6 (C8), 180.9 (C4).

Methyl 2(E)-(1-(6-Methyl-2,4-dioxopyridin-(2H)-pyran-3(4H)-ylidene)ethylamino) acetate (2i). White solid; yield, $86 \%$; mp $145-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3454,3018,1720,1699,1642,1581,1475,1394$, 1363, 1218, 1064, 1000, 929, 771, 669; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 2.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5)$, 14.02 (br s, 1H, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 18.7$ (C7), 19.6 (C9), 45.7 (C1'), $52.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 96.4$ (C5), 107.2 (C3), 162.4 (C6), 169.1 (C2 and C2'), 175.6 (C4), 183.2 (C8). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 240.0872$; found, 240.0870.
(E)-3-(1-(4-Methoxyphenylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-3H-pyran-2,4dione (2j). Yellow solid; yield, $66 \%$; mp $180-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$, $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3055,2987,2941,2887,1699,1645,1627,1573,1530,1475$, 1321, 1265, 1031, 999, 897, 840, 746; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5), 6.93 (d, 2H, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and H6'), $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}$ ), 15.44 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 20.0 (C7), 20.5 (C9), $55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 97.1 (C3), 107.0 (C5), $114.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 126.7 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 128.8 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 159.3 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 163.7 (C6), 163.9 (C2), 175.6 (C4), 184.3 (C8).

Scheme 1. Preparation of $(E)$-Enaminopyran-2,4-diones $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{3 a , b}$


Scheme 2. Preparation of Chiral (E)-Enaminopyran-2,4-diones 4a-c

(E)-3-(1-(Butylamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-3H-pyran-2,4-dione (2k). Yellow oil; yield, $92 \%$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$, $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3479,3055,2961,2924$, 2869, 1695, 1655, 1581, 1479, 1392, 1361, 1338, 1257, 1163, 1061, 999, 926, 837, 735; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 1.44 (sextet, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 1.68 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1^{\prime}\right)$, 5.68 (br d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ), 13.98 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.6$ (C4'), 18.1 (C3'), 19.7 (C9), 20.0 (C2'), 30.9 (C1'), 43.9 (C7), 96.4 (C5), 107.0 (C4), 162.0 (C2), 175.0 (C4), 182.0 (C8).
(E)-6-Methyl-3-(1-(2-phenylhydrazinyl)ethylidene)-2H-pyran-2,4(3H)dione (3a). Red oil; yield, $62 \%$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), $\bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3460,3055$, 2987, 1743, 1610, 1552, 1421, 1265, 1103, 897, 750; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 5.90 (br s, 1H, H5), 7.37 (t, 1H, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}$ ), 7.56 (m, 2H, H3' and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), 7.73 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.7$ (C9), 19.6 (C7), 102.4 (C3), 104.9 (C5), 120.9 (C2 ${ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$, 127.6 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 129.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 136.9 ( $\mathrm{C1}^{\prime}$ ), 144.9 (C2), 150.3 (C8), 154.5 (C4), 159.3 (C6). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 259.1083$; found, 259.1101.
(E)-3-(1-(2-(2,4-Dinitrophenyl)hydrazinyl)ethylidene)-6-methyl-2H-pyran-2,4(3H)-dione (3b). Red oil; yield, $58 \%$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), \bar{\nu}_{\text {max }}$ $3445,3055,2987,1740,1700,1645,1625,1549,1421,1344,1261$,


Figure 1. ORTEP-3 representation of compound 2c.

1039, 897, 748; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.24$ (d, 3H, $J=$ $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5), 8.18 (dd, 1H, $J=9.0,0.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 6^{\prime}$ ), 8.72 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0,2.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H} 5^{\prime}\right), 8.88$ (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5,0.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.7$ (C9), 20.6 (C7), 99.9 (C3), 101.5 (C5), 105.8 (C6'), 122.0 (C3'), 132.9 (C5'), 146.8 (C2'), 147.8 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 151.5 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ), 154.7 (C6), 161.0 (C2), 170.7 (C8), 180.9 (C4). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}, 349.0784$; found, 349.0781.
(S,E)-Methyl-3-methyl-2-(1-(6-methyl-2,4-dioxo-2H-pyran-3(4H)ylidene)ethylamino)propanoate (4a). White solid; yield, $78 \%$; mp $121-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-7(c=0.29 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \bar{\nu}_{\text {max }}$ 3456, 3057, 2986, 2957, 1725, 1699, 1670, 1583, 1477, 1361, 1251, 1223, 1151, 1059, 1000, 895, 737; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 250 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $1.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 4.88 (quintet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.71 (br s, 1H, H5), 14.23 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 18.6(\mathrm{C} 7), 18.8(\mathrm{C} 9), 19.6(\mathrm{C} 12), 52.2(\mathrm{C} 11), 53.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 96.4$ (C5), 107.4 (C3), 163.0 (C2 and C6), 171.5 (C10), 175.9 (C4), 184.0 (C8). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 254.1028$; found, 254.1186.
(S,E)-Methyl-2-(1-(6-methyl-2,4-dioxo-2H-pyran-3(4H)-ylidene)ethyl-amino)-3-phenylpropanoate (4b). White solid; yield, $97 \%$; mp 91-92 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-202(c=0.21 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), \bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3454$, 3055, 2988, 2956, 1730, 1701, 1670, 1578, 1477, 1362, 1265, 1065, 999, 896, 746; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.05(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $3.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.70 (br s, 1H, H5), $7.10-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 14.40$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 18.4$ (C7), 19.6 (C9), 35.7 (C12), $53.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 57.9$ (C11), 96.4 (C5), 107.8 (C3), 127.6 ( $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ ), 128.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6^{\prime}$ ), 129.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 135.7 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) ), 163.8 (C2), 170.2 (C10), 176.3 (C4), 185.2 (C8). HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 330.1341$; found, 330.1362.
(S,E)-Methyl-3-methyl-2-(1-(6-methyl-2,4-dioxo-2H-pyran-3(4H)ylidene)ethylamino)butanoate ( $4 \boldsymbol{c}$ ). Yellow oil; yield, $82 \% ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=$ $+4(c=1.18 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right), \bar{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3448,3020,2971$, 1705, 1697, 1650, 1577, 1479, 1394, 1363, 1216, 927, 777, 669; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $0.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.77(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$, 6.5 Hz, H2'), 5.73 (br s, 1H, H5), 14.30 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 17.7$ (C7), 18.6 (C4'), 18.8 (C5'), 19.6 (C3'), 31.4 (C9), $53.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 61.7(\mathrm{C} 2$ ) $), 96.6$ (C5), $108.0(\mathrm{C} 3), 163.2$ (C2 and C6), 170.3 (C1'), 176.8 (C4), 198.0 (C8); HRMS (ESI TOF-MS): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{5}, 282.1342$; found, 282.1308.

X-ray Analysis. A well-shaped single crystal of ( $E$ )-3-(1-(propy-lamino)ethylidene)-6-methyl-3 H -pyran-2,4-dione (2c) was selected for the X-ray diffraction experiment. This crystal was mounted on a glass fiber and afterward positioned on the goniometer head. Intensity data were collected at low temperature (150(2) K) provided by a cryogenic device (Oxford Cryosystem) and with graphite monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$, using a Enraf-Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer. The cell refinements were performed using the software Collect (34) and Scalepack (35), and the final cell parameters were obtained on all reflections. Data for compound 2c were measured up to $27.4^{\circ}$ in $\theta$, totaling 4497 Bragg reflections. Data reduction was carried out using the software Denzo-SMN and Scalepack (35) with XdisplayF for visual representation of data. An absorption coefficient of 0.096 $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ was observed. Thus, absorption correction was not done.

The structure was solved using the software SHELXS-97 (36) and refined using the software SHELXL-97 (37), where the C, N , and O atoms were clearly solved and full-matrix least-squares refinement of these atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters was carried out. The amine hydrogen atom was located by difference Fourier analysis and was set as isotropic. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogens were positioned stereochemically and were refined with fixed individual displacement parameters $\left[U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}}{ }^{2}\right)\right.$ or $\left.1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}}{ }^{3}\right)\right]$ using a riding model with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths ranging between $0.93 \AA$ and $0.97 \AA$. Table 1 was prepared using WinGX (version 1.70.01) (38) and presents a summary of the X-ray diffraction experiment. ORTEP-3 (39) and MERCURY (40) were also used in order to publish the crystal


Figure 2. Effect of the compounds, at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(0.1 \mathrm{mM})$ and $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}(1 \mathrm{mM})$, on the radicle development of $C$. sativus and $S$. bicolor.


Figure 3. Effect of the compounds, at $5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, on the development of radicle and aerial parts of $I$. grandifolia and B. decumbens.
data, as well as MOGUL (41), a useful program to evaluate the molecular conformation and geometry by matching the values of bond distances and torsional and valence angles for a query compound with the corresponding ones of similar structures that are deposited at the Cambridge Structure Database (CSD) (42) (Table 1).

The crystallographic information file leading to the data sets (except the structure factors) for compound $\mathbf{2 c}$ has been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Data Base under deposit code CCDC 694825 (copies of these data may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, U.K.; fax, +44123-336-033; e-mail, deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; or http:www.ccdc.ac.uk).

Plant Growth Inhibition Assays. In order to evaluate the growth regulatory potential of the synthesized $(E)$-enaminopyran-2,4-diones (1, 2a-k, 3a-b, and $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c}$ ), three different bioassays were carried out.

Radicle Elongation Assay on Filter Paper. The solutions of (E)-enaminopyran-2,4-diones were prepared by dissolving a proper amount in xylene $(48 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and pentan-3-one $(24 \mu \mathrm{~L})(31)$. After addition of the surfactant Tween $80(72 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, the resulting suspension was transferred to a volumetric flask and diluted with water to 50 mL , so as to obtain final concentrations of $1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ and $1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. These suspensions were sonicated for 5 min , and then 4 mL aliquots were used to imbibe two sheets of filter paper (Whatman no. 1) placed in $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ glass Petri dishes. To each dish were added 20 seeds of Sorghum bicolor L. Moench (Geneze Company, Paracatu,

Minas Gerais State, Brazil) or Cucumis sativus L. (purchased from a local market). The plates were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under fluorescent light ( $8 \times 40 \mathrm{~W}$ ) for 72 h . Radicle length was then measured, and total germination was recorded. Seeds were considered to have germinated if a radicle protruded at least 1 mm . Treatments were carried out in a completely randomized design with five replications. The data, expressed as percentage of radical growth inhibition with respect to untreated controls, were analyzed using Tukey's test at the 0.05 probability level.

Radicle Elongation Assay in Sand. Solutions of the most active compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{2 g}, \mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{2 i}, \mathbf{4 a}$, and $\mathbf{4 c}$ were prepared by dissolving a proper amount in xylene ( $84 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and pentan-3-one ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After addition of the surfactant Tween $80(127 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, the resulting suspension was transferred to a volumetric flask and diluted in water to 88 mL , so as to obtain a final concentration of $5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. These suspensions were sonicated for 5 min and were used to imbibe acidwashed sand ( 165 g ) in $90-\mathrm{mm}$ Petri dishes. Seven pregerminated seeds of Ipomoea grandifolia or Brachiaria decumbens were transferred into each plate, and dishes were sealed with Parafilm and incubated at 28 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 and 48 h , the radicle length was measured to the nearest millimeter. Treatments were carried out in a completely randomized design with four replications. The data were expressed and analyzed as above.

Greenhouse Trials. Plastic pots ( 0.13 L ) were filled with acid-washed sand, which was saturated with the solution of the test compound (60
$\mathrm{mL} / 450 \mathrm{~g}$ of sand, corresponding to $5.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{mmol}$ a.i. $/ \mathrm{g}$ substrate). Four seeds of I. grandifolia or B. decumbens were placed in each pot. Seedlings were grown in a greenhouse and watered as required with tap water or, twice a week, with half-strength Hoagland solution, to maintain the humidity at $13.3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$. Twenty-one days after sowing, plants were harvested, and the roots and aerial parts were separated and weighed. Tissues were then dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until constant weight, and the corresponding dry mass was determined. The percentage of root and aerial part growth inhibition was calculated in relation to the mass of the respective control. Data were expressed and analyzed as previously indicated.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-Enaminopyran-2,4-diones. Treatment of dehydroacetic acid $\mathbf{1}$ with the corresponding primary alkyl or aryl amines proceeded smoothly in the presence of triethylamine in refluxing 1,4-dioxane to give the corresponding functionalized ( $E$ )-enaminopyran-2,4-diones $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{k}$ in excellent yields (Scheme 1). The use of ( $S$ )-sec-butylamine gave chiral enamino-2,4-dione 2b in $91 \%$ isolated yield. Usually, the reaction with alkyl amines led to better yields when compared with aryl amines.

The next step involved the reactions of dehydroacetic acid $\mathbf{1}$ with phenylhydrazines to give the $(E)$-enaminopyran-2,4-diones 3a and 3b in good yields (Scheme 1). We next moved to the reactions of dehydroacetic acid $\mathbf{1}$ with the corresponding chiral $\alpha$-amino esters (Scheme 2). We were able to get excellent yields by reacting 1 with the $\alpha$-amino esters derived from L-alanine, L-phenylalanine, and L-valine, affording the desired ( $E$ )-enaminopyran-2,4-diones $\mathbf{4 a - c}$.
X-ray Analysis. The structures of the products were confirmed by X-ray analysis of compounds 2a-2c (Figure 1). Of the two possible isomers, the $(E)$-enaminone is formed preferentially as hydrogen bonding occurs with the more electron rich oxygen. In Figure 1, an ORTEP-3 representation (38) of compound 2 c is shown. This compound crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with one entire molecule in the asymmetric unit. In the solid state, the X-ray diffraction analyses have revealed that the major tautomer is the form presenting the nitrogen atom covalently hydrogen bonded, whereas the two exocyclic oxygen atoms are either carbonyl or carboxyl (Figure 1). An interesting intramolecular feature in the $\mathbf{2 c}$ structure is the occurrence of a chelating sixmembered system closed by the classical noncovalent hydrogen bond $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ in which the nitrogen atom of the propylamino moiety is the hydrogen donor and the carbonyl oxygen of the $\delta$-lactone ring is the acceptor.
Phytotoxic Assay. Compounds 1, 2a-k, 3a,b, and 4a-c were then submitted to a plant growth bioassay to evaluate their effect on the radicle growth of Cucumis sativus and Sorghum bicolor. The experiments were carried out at two concentrations ( $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) of each compound, and the results are shown in Figure 2.

None of the compounds caused a significant effect on the germination rate for $C$. sativus at the two concentrations tested. However, at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, several different effects were observed: stimulating effects ( $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}, 15 \%$ ), lesser inhibitory effects ( $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 0 \%} ; \mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{2 2 \%}$; $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{2 3 \%}$ ), and moderate inhibitory effects (3a, 29\%; 1, $37 \%$; 2e, $54 \%$ ). At $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, all compounds showed inhibitory effects, ranging from $19 \%$ to $88 \%$, on the radicle growth of C. sativus. The six most active compounds ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{2 d}, \mathbf{2 e}, \mathbf{2 h}$, and $\mathbf{4 c}$ ) caused more than $80 \%$ inhibition of radicle growth. It is interesting to note that compound $\mathbf{2 b}$, which presents a ( $S$ )-sec-butyl group attached to the nitrogen, is much more active ( $88 \%$ inhibition) than compound $\mathbf{2 k}$ ( $44 \%$ inhibition), bearing a butyl group. This
result could in principle suggest that chirality could have some effect on the activity. This hypothesis was disproved, since it was observed that chiral $(E)$-enaminopyran-2,4-diones $(4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c})$ were as active as ( $63-81 \%$ of inhibition) the achiral compound ( $\mathbf{2 i}$ ) $(69 \%)$ in inhibiting the radicle development of C. sativus.

The aromatic enamine 2d bearing an unsubstituted phenyl ring caused the best result of inhibition against C. sativus, compared with the aromatic enamines with substitutions in the ring. Despite a stimulating effect on radicle growth at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$, at the higher concentration $\mathbf{2 d}$ inhibits ( $81 \%$ inhibition) as much as $\mathbf{2 e}$ ( $88 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{2 h}(81 \%)$. These data and others presented in Figure 2 suggest that the presence of substitution on the aromatic ring of these enamines is not a requisite for herbicide activity against $C$. sativus.

For the hydrazines ( $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ ) it was observed that the presence of two nitro groups on the aromatic ring had a significant impact on the activity. Compound 3b caused 78\% inhibition of radicle growth, while 3a was four times less active, causing only $19 \%$ inhibition.

For S. bicolor, none of the compounds caused a significant effect on the germination rate at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. However, at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ were observed inhibitions with $\mathbf{2 a}$ ( $16 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 f}$ and 2k ( $18 \%$ ), 2d ( $22 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 h}(25 \%), \mathbf{1}(73 \%)$, and $\mathbf{2 e}(76 \%)$.

Only $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ showed a stimulating effect at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ( $26 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively). A small inhibitory effect (less than $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ ) was noted for compounds $\mathbf{2 d}, \mathbf{2 g}, \mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{2 i}$, and $\mathbf{3 b}$, while approximately $46 \%$ inhibition was observed for compounds $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 k}$. At $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and 4b showed lower inhibitory effects ( $27 \%$ and $24 \%$, respectively), while moderate inhibitory effects ( $\mathbf{2 i}, 59 \%$ and $\mathbf{2 j}, 48 \%$ ) and high inhibitions were found for compounds $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( $76 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ ( $80 \%$ ), $\mathbf{1}$ ( $83 \%$ ), and $\mathbf{2 e}(85 \%)$.

The chiral ( $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ ) and achiral ( $\mathbf{2 i}$ ) enamine methyl esters showed large differences over the radicle development of $S$. bicolor. The aromatic compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ showed a small inhibitory effect ( $11 \%$ and $24 \%$, at $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, respectively) on radicle growth, while for the others high inhibitory activities ( $\mathbf{2 i}, 7 \%$ and $59 \%$; $\mathbf{4 c}, 17 \%$ and $70 \%$; $\mathbf{4 a}, 22 \%$ and $72 \%$ ) were registered. Since the observed inhibitory activities for $\mathbf{2 i}, \mathbf{4 c}$, and $\mathbf{4 a}$ are quite similar, it seems that for these compounds the chirality is not a requirement for inhibitory activity. Moreover, since the aromatic compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ displayed a small inhibitory effect, it is apparent that the presence of an alkyl group attached to the chiral carbon may contribute to the activity of compounds (4a-c) against $S$. bicolor.

At $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ hydrazine $\mathbf{3 b}$ caused higher inhibition (76\%) on the radicle development of S. bicolor than 3a (27\% inhibition). These results are consistent with those reported for C. sativus, confirming the strong influence of the nitro groups on the activity of these compounds.

Compound $\mathbf{2 e}$, an aromatic enamine with a chorine in the ring, presented the best result of inhibition $\left(85 \%\right.$ at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) against $S$. bicolor compared with other aromatic enamines. The presence of a chlorine atom seems to have little effect on herbicide activity, since $\mathbf{2 d}$ caused $62 \%$ of inhibition at the same concentration. On the other hand, compound $\mathbf{2 h}$, which differs from $2 \mathbf{g}$ by the presence of chlorine in the ring and by the position of the nitro group, was $8 \%$ more active at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$, while 2 f (with a hydroxyl in ring) was $11 \%$ less active than 2e at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.

For the alkyl enamines $\mathbf{2 b}$ ( $79 \%$ inhibition), 2c (75\%), and $\mathbf{2 k}(80 \%)$, no significant differences for the herbicide activity at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ were observed. At the same concentration, compound 2c ( $20 \%$ inhibition) was much less active than the
others. These data suggest that the size of the alkyl chain could be associated with activity. Futher investigation should be carried out in order to evaluate this proposal.

After confirming the inhibitory activity of the pyran-2,4diones on the development of $C$. sativus and S. bicolor, the effect of the most active compounds (1, 2a, 2b, 2g-i, 4b, and 4 ) on the growth of the important weeds Ipomoea grandifolia and Brachiaria decumbens was investigated. At $5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$, all the compounds caused inhibition of the biomass of the roots (ranging from $32 \%$ to $83 \%$ ) and on the aerial parts (from $8 \%$ to $84 \%$ ) of I. grandifolia. Compounds $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}$, and $\mathbf{2 g}$ were the most active, causing around $81 \%$ (for the three compounds) inhibition on root biomass development, and $84 \%, 84 \%$, and $76 \%$ on the aerial parts of I. grandifolia, respectively. These data suggest that the presence of sec-butyl, benzyl, or 2-hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl groups has similar contributions to the herbicide activity of these enamines. The same behavior was observed for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, where a methyl or isopropyl on the chiral carbon did not cause a significant change in herbicide activity (roots: $\mathbf{4 a}, 62 \%$ inhibition; $\mathbf{4 c}, 65 \%$. aerial parts: 4a, $57 \%$ inhibition; $\mathbf{4 c}, 68 \%$ ). When compared with $\mathbf{2 i}$, the presence of methyl or isopropyl groups ( $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $\mathbf{4 c}$ ) significantly increases herbicide activity ( $\mathbf{2 i}$ : roots, $34 \%$; aerial parts, $42 \%$ ) against I. grandifolia.
For B. decumbens, compound $\mathbf{2 g}$ inhibited roots and aerial parts by $15 \%$, while $\mathbf{2 h}$ increased the development of roots by $24 \%$ and the aerial parts by $20 \%$. Compound 4 a caused $46 \%$ and $33 \%$ inhibition on roots and aerial part development, respectively; for $\mathbf{4 c}$ induction of the development of roots and aerial parts (around $46 \%$ ) was observed. There was no significant difference between $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ (roots inhibition, $44 \%$; aerial parts inhibition, $33 \%$ ).

Compound 2b, a chiral alkyl enamine, showed the highest inhibitory effect on the development of roots (66\%) and aerial parts ( $71 \%$ ) of B. decumbens.

The effect of the precursor dehydroacetic acid (1) was also investigated. Dehydroacetic acid inhibits the development of roots ( $41 \%$ ) and aerial parts ( $8 \%$ ) of Ipomoea grandifolia but increases the development of roots ( $68 \%$ ) and aerial parts ( $41 \%$ ) of Brachiaria decumbens at a concentration of $5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ $L^{-1}$.

The highest activities presented by the tested compounds and the selective effect observed on the root growth of monocotyledons (B. decumbens) and dicotyledons (I. grandifolia) should be further investigated. These compounds also could be exploited for the design of new substances closely related to dehydroacetic acids endowed with herbicidal activity.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have prepared a variety of new functionalized achiral and chiral ( $E$ )-enaminopyran-2,4-diones, starting with dehydroacetic acid. In addition, we have described their effect on radicle growth of Sorghum bicolor and Cucumis sativus. The most active compounds against $S$. bicolor and $C$. sativus were tested on the development on the radicle and aerial parts of the $I$. grandifolia and B. decumbens. Based upon a preliminary structure-activity relationship analysis discussed in this paper, work is currently underway to achieve the synthesis of new pyran-2,4-dione derivatives with better herbicide activity.
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